ws 24/25 Written Expression



Types of relationship between clauses

TYPE OF INTERDEPENDENCY (TAXIS)

LOGICO-SEMANTIC TYPE			(i) paratactic	(ii) hypotactic
	(1) expansion	(a) elaboration	ca. 50%	ca. 50%
		=	1 John didn't wait; =2 he ran away.	α John ran away, =β which surprised everyone. α John,
				$\langle\langle =\beta\rangle\rangle$ who was the leader,α ran away.
			"apposition"	"non-defining relative"
		(b) extension	ca. 95%	ca. 5%
		+	1 John ran away, +2 and Fred stayed behind.	α John ran away, + β whereas Fred stayed behind.
			"coordination"	
		(c) enhancement	ca. 30%	ca. 70%
		×	1 John was scared, ×2 so he ran away.	
			1 John ran away, ×2 for he was scared.	α John ran away, $\times \beta$ because he was scared.
				"adverbial clause"
	o jection	(a) locution	ca. 50%	ca. 50%
		"	1 John said: "2 "Tm running away."	α John said "β he was running away.
			"direct speech"	"indirect speech"
		(b) idea	ca. 5%	ca. 95%
	(2) p r	•	1 John thought to himself: '2 Tll run away.'	α John thought 'β he would run away.
	<u> </u>		"direct thought"	"indirect thought"

Source: M. A. K. Halliday, An Introduction to Functional Grammar (compiled and slightly adapted from editions 1, 2, 3, 4).