Quantification and Formality

There is a slight disturbance to the way in which the level of FORMALITY influences the grammar of quantification in English nominal groups, and this disturbance indicates the existence of a semantic cryptotype which we might perhaps call 'FACTIVITY'. Specifically, positive statements behave differently than negative statements and questions do: at the neutral level of formality, positive statements follow the informal-level pattern, whereas negative statements and questions (and sometimes *if*-clauses) follow the formal-level pattern.

[countable:plural]

$FACTIVITY \Rightarrow$	[Statement: positive]	[Statement: negative]	[Question]
	('There were')	('There were not')	('Were there \dots ?')
[formal]	many questions	many questions \downarrow	many questions \downarrow
[neutral]	a lot of questions \uparrow	many questions	many questions
[informal]	a lot of questions	a lot of questions	a lot of questions
~			

↑

FORMALITY

[uncountable]

FACTIVITY	\Rightarrow	[Statement: positive]	[Statement: negative]	[Question]
		('There was')	('There was not')	('Was there \dots ?')
[formal]		much disagreement	much disagreement \downarrow	much disagreement \downarrow
[neutral]		a lot of disagreement \uparrow	much disagreement	much disagreement
[informal]		a lot of disagreement	a lot of disagreement	a lot of disagreement

↑ FORMALITY

If you say *There were many questions* or *There was much disagreement* in speaking, you are likely to sound somewhat more formal than you intended – but it would be all right to express yourself that way in a formal piece of writing. If you find *a lot of* to be too informal for your purposes, try *a great deal of* instead.